

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/009,696	11/06/2001	John Eric Arnold	DN1999111USA	1392	
27280	7590 12/15/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
THE GOODYEAR TIRE & RUBBER COMPANY			NGUYEN, XUAN LAN T		
	JAL PROPERTY DEPA ARKET STREET	ARTMENT 823	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AKRON, OH	44316-0001	01	3683		
			DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/009,696	ARNOLD ET AL.	
,	Examiner	Art Unit	1, 1
	Lan Nguyen	3683	Mi)
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	;
THE REPLY FILED 17 November 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to averally final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the control of the control	ation. A proper reply to a places the application	a in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply a later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See R 1.136(a) and the appropriat unt of the fee. The appropria	MPEP te extension ate extension e action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	Brief must be filed within the pe	eriod set forth in f the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		. по арроап	a1
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below).	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	·	see NOTE below),	
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	•	rially reducing or simplif	iying the
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	-		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed ame	endment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT pla	ace the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were ne	wly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	i will be entered and a w or appended.	an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9 and 11-15</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner	
9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen			
10. Other:		Lan Nogen 12	113/04
		<b>-</b>	

Lan Nguyen Patent Examiner Art Unit: 3683 Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's argument has been considered but found non persuasive. The rejections are still the same as in the Final Office Action dated 8/17/04.